Docket: T3572-908375US01
PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: : Conf. No.: 4047

Bruce S. HALL : Group Art Unit: 3637

Serial No.: 10/510,691 : Examiner: Phi D A

Filed: October 8, 2004

For: Shrapnel Containment System : and Method for Producing Same :

## STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This to provide documentation of a March 13, 2007 Telephone Interview between Examiner Phi D A and the undersigned Applicant's representative regarding an Advisory Action Mailed on March 9, 2007 and a Final Office Action mailed December 27, 2006.

- (A) Exhibit(s) shown or demonstration conducted: N/A.
- **(B) Claims discussed:** 30, 35 and 42 51.
- (C) Prior art discussed: N/A.
- (D) Proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed not already described on an Interview Summary form completed by the examiner: N/A.
- (E) General thrust of the principal arguments of the applicant: Advisory Action not proper, because claim amendment to Claim 42, which came directly from previously

presented Claim 52, does not raise new issues that would require further consideration

and/or search and, contrary to 3.(d), no additional claims were added to the application.

Rejection(s) in error, Examiner can't deconstruct 6,898,907 in such a way as to make it

inoperable for its intended purpose. As a result, no motivation or suggestion exists to

modify 6,898,907 as done by the Examiner.

(F) General indication of any other pertinent matters discussed: N/A.

(G) General results or outcome of the interview: No agreement was reached.

However, Examiner did indicate that checking of box 3.(d) in Advisory Action was an

error, and that deletion of non-entered After-Final amendment to Claim 42 and

cancellation of Claims 42 - 51 might put the application in condition for allowance or in

better form for appeal.

(H) Was interview via electronic mail, if so, a paper copy of the Internet e-mail

contents is attached hereto: N/A.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 50-

1165 any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 that may be required by this paper and to

credit any overpayment to that Account. If any extension of time is required in

connection with the filing of this paper and has not been requested separately, such

extension is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted

March 15, 2007

David R. Schaffer

.

Reg. No. 43,089

1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 500 McLean, Virginia 22102-3833

Telephone: (703) 610-8649

Miles & Stockbridge P.C.

#4846-0906-0609v1

2

Docket: T3572-908375US01
PATENT APPLICATION

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: : Conf. No.: 4047

Bruce S. HALL : Group Art Unit: 3637

Serial No.: 10/510,691 : Examiner: Phi D A

:

Filed: October 8, 2004

For: Shrapnel Containment System : and Method for Producing Same :

#### STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This to provide documentation of a January 3, 2007 Telephone Interview between Examiner Phi D A and the undersigned Applicant's representative regarding a Final Office Action mailed December 27, 2006.

- (A) Exhibit(s) shown or demonstration conducted: N/A.
- (B) Claims discussed: 1.
- (C) **Prior art discussed:** 6,898,907.
- (D) Proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed not already described on an Interview Summary form completed by the examiner: N/A.

(E) General thrust of the principal arguments of the applicant: Rejection in error,

Examiner can't deconstruct 6,898,907 in such a way as to make it inoperable for its

intended purpose. As a result, no motivation or suggestion exists to modify 6,898,907 as

done by the Examiner.

(F) General indication of any other pertinent matters discussed: N/A.

(G) General results or outcome of the interview: Applicant's representative to present

arguments pursuant to (E) above to overcome the rejection.

(H) Was interview via electronic mail, if so, a paper copy of the Internet e-mail

contents is attached hereto: N/A.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 50-

1165 any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 that may be required by this paper and to

credit any overpayment to that Account. If any extension of time is required in

connection with the filing of this paper and has not been requested separately, such

extension is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted

March 15, 2007

David R. Schaffer Reg. No. 43,089

Miles & Stockbridge P.C. 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 500

McLean, Virginia 22102-3833

Telephone: (703) 610-8649

#4827-1775-4113v1

2

Docket: T3572-908375US01
PATENT APPLICATION

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: : Conf. No.: 4047

Bruce S. HALL : Group Art Unit: 3637

Serial No.: 10/510,691 : Examiner: Phi D A

Filed: October 8, 2004

For: Shrapnel Containment System and Method for Producing Same

## STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This to provide documentation of a November 3, 2006 Personal Interview between Examiner Phi D A and the undersigned Applicant's representative regarding a Final Office Action mailed October 12, 2006.

- (A) Exhibit(s) shown or demonstration conducted: Yes, a measurement units conversion table with the correct numerical values for mil and mm.
- (B) Claims discussed: 1 and 30.
- (C) Prior art discussed: N/A.
- (D) Proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed not already described on an Interview Summary form completed by the examiner: N/A.

(E) General thrust of the principal arguments of the applicant: Rejection in error,

because Examiner used the incorrect numerical value for "mil", when the correct

numerical value is 1/1000 inch. As a result, claimed range outside disclosed range in

primary reference.

(F) General indication of any other pertinent matters discussed: N/A.

(G) General results or outcome of the interview: Examiner to reconsider rejection of

claims, withdraw finality of the last action and reconsider the claims.

(H) Was interview via electronic mail, if so, a paper copy of the Internet e-mail

contents is attached hereto: N/A.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 50-

1165 any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 that may be required by this paper and to

Respectfully submitted

David R. Schaffer Reg. No. 43,089

credit any overpayment to that Account. If any extension of time is required in

connection with the filing of this paper and has not been requested separately, such

extension is hereby requested.

March 15, 2007

Miles & Stockbridge P.C. 1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 500 McLean, Virginia 22102-3833

Telephone: (703) 610-8649

#4821-1213-5937v1

2